



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/687,526	10/12/2000	Eric Peel	07316/080001	1042	
7590 05/13/2004			EXAMINER		
Scott C. Harris			ANDERSON, MATTHEW D		
Fish & Richardson PC 12390 El Camino Real			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Diego, CA 92130			2186		
			DATE MAILED: 05/13/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

84

		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/687,52	26	PEEL ET AL.	a				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
). Anderson	2186					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	ess				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION maions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by started by the Office later than three months after the made patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no eve . I reply within the state riod will apply and wi atute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be ti utory minimum of thirty (30) da Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron lication to become ABANDON!	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this comr ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	27 April 2004 .		•					
2a)⊠		This action is	non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und ion of Claims				merits is				
•		ending in the	application						
	4) Claim(s) 2-7,9-11,14-19 and 21-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	i) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,7,9-11,14-19,21 and 26</u> is/are rejected.								
·	✓ Claim(s) 3-6 and 22-25 is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election re	equirement.						
,	ion Papers		•						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.							
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 October 2000</u> is/a	are: a)⊠ accep	oted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ a	pproved b)⊡ disappr	oved by the Examiner.					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	n reply to this Of	fice action.						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have bee	n received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have bee	n received in Applicat	tion No					
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).		age				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome		•		oplication).				
a) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional ap	plication has been re	ceived.					
Attachmen	•	• •							
2) Notic	ee of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-1					

Application/Control Number: 09/687,526

and the client device, as shown in figure 3;

Art Unit: 2186

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2, 9-11, and 14-19, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Thome et al. (US Patent # 5,604,884) and Macachor (US Patent 5,452,432).
- 3. With respect to claims 2, 9, 10-11, 14, 17, and 21, Thome *et al.* disclose:

 a memory device comprising a plurality of memory elements, each memory elements

 having an associated address; a client device; and a bus to pass data between the memory device

determining valid bytes in an m-byte word accessed from a burst memory, comprising: receiving a plurality of access parameters; and generating an m-bit enable word from the access parameters and a value of m, said m-bit enable word including at least one valid bit corresponding to at least one valid byte in the m-byte word, by teaching in column 7, line 61, through column 8, line 4, that the CPU 52 operates in a similar manner as the i486 microprocessor, where it asserts an address status signal ADS* indicating that a new valid bus cycle is currently being driven by the CPU 52. When the ADS* signal is asserted, the CPU 52 also drives a signal M/IO* indicating whether the cycle is a memory or I/O operation, a signal W/R* indicating whether the cycle is a write or a read operation and a signal D/C* indicating a

Application/Control Number: 09/687,526 Page 3

Art Unit: 2186

data or control cycle. The CPU 52 also asserts eight byte enable bits BE7*-BE0* indicating which bytes of the PD data bus are to be read or written by the CPU 52. In general, the cycle is terminated by an external device asserting a burst ready signal BRDY* to the CPU 52, indicating that the external device has presented valid data for a read cycle or has accepted data in response to a write request.

- 4. With respect to claim 18, Thome et al. disclose determining whether the access is a last access required to satisfy a client request, by teaching in column 5, lines 4-7, that after the last rising edge of the CLK signal in a burst cycle, the ADV* signal is negated so that remaining CLK cycles are ignored.
- 5. With respect to claim 19, Thome *et al.* disclose the bus comprises a read bus and a write bus, as shown by the busses in figure 1 connecting the data in-register and output buffer to the memory array.
- 6. With respect to claims 2, 9, 14, and 21, Thome *et al.* teach all other limitations of the parent claims, as discussed above, but fail to specifically disclose the request access parameters including a first address and a byte count value. Macachor teaches in column 3, lines 49-53, transmission parameters for burst length, starting address, block size, block count, and byte count.
- 7. With respect to claim 15, Thome *et al.* disclose the memory controller determining a second address for a subsequent access for the plurality of access parameters, by teaching in column 4, line 65-67, that clocking the counter causes the internal address to point to the next consecutive address location in the memory array.

Application/Control Number: 09/687,526 Page 4

Art Unit: 2186

8. With respect to claim 16, Thome *et al.* disclose the client storing the second address, by showing in figure 1, address register 26 connected to the clock signal and used to provide the internal address to the memory array.

- 9. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Thome *et al.* and Macachor before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the burst memory transmission taught by Thome *et al.*, to include a starting address and byte count, as with the burst memory transmission of Macachor, in order to provide user control to vary transmission parameters, as taught by Macachor.
- 10. Claims 7 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thome *et al.* and Macachor.
- 11. The difference between Thome *et al.* and Macachor and the claims is the claims recite the value of m being thirty-two, while Thome *et al.* discloses theirs to be eight. However, this specific size value does not have a disclosed purpose nor is it disclosed to overcome any deficiencies in the prior art. As such, the size of m may have been embodied in a number of values. Accordingly, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to utilize the 8-byte word in the burst transmission of Thome *et al.* and Macachor, as disclosed supra, since applicant has not disclosed that a thirty-two byte word in the burst, as opposed to other sizes, overcomes a deficiency in the prior art or is for any stated purpose.

Application/Control Number: 09/687,526

Art Unit: 2186

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 5

12. Claims 3-6 and 22-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 13. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art does not teach or suggest the combination of claim elements specifically including truncating a portion of the first address to produce an n-bit word; generating an enable value from the n-bit word, the byte count value, and the m-value; generating an m-bit pre-shifter enable word from the enable value and the m value; and shifting the bits in the m-bit pre-shifted enable word by a value of the n-bit word.
- 14. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Amendment

15. In response to the amendment filed 4/27/04:

claims 1, 8, 12-13, and 20 have been canceled;

claims 2, 7, 9, 14, 17-19, 21, and 26 have been amended.

Application/Control Number: 09/687,526 Page 6

Art Unit: 2186

Response to Arguments

16. Applicant's arguments filed 4/27/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

17. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., generating an enable word based on the first address and byte count) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The claimed "access parameters" are not limited to consist solely of the first address and byte count. Therefore, the enable word need not be generated from the first address and byte count, it may be other portions of the "access parameters" from which it is generated.

Conclusion

18. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2186

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 19. The prior art made of record on form PTO-892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111(c) to consider these references fully when responding to this action. The documents cited therein teach similar memory burst transmissions.
- 20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew D. Anderson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5931. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 2nd Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Kim can be reached on (703) 305-3821. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Matthew D. Anderson

May 11, 2004